
Impact of Diversity and Inclusion Initiatives on Employee Satisfaction and Organisational Performance

Dr. Ritu Chandna

Associate Professor in Commerce

Sant Mohan Singh Khalsa Labana Girls College, Barara (Ambala)

Abstract

Diversity and inclusion (D&I) initiatives have moved from the margins of corporate social responsibility toward the centre of mainstream human resource and business strategy over the past decade, driven by both normative commitments to fairness and a growing body of evidence linking diverse and inclusive workplaces to favourable organisational outcomes. This paper examines the impact of diversity and inclusion initiatives on employee satisfaction and organisational performance using a secondary data-based research design, synthesising findings from major industry research series — including McKinsey's Diversity Matters, Delivering through Diversity, and Diversity Wins reports, Deloitte's inclusion and human capital research, Boston Consulting Group's innovation studies, and Gallup's workplace engagement research. The review synthesises data on the relationship between executive-level gender and ethnic diversity and financial outperformance, the relationship between perceived inclusion and employee engagement or satisfaction, and the relationship between diversity management practices and broader organisational performance indicators such as innovation and retention. The synthesised secondary evidence indicates a consistent, though not universal, positive association between diversity and inclusion initiatives and both employee satisfaction and organisational performance, with the strength of these associations varying by diversity dimension, industry, and the extent to which diversity efforts are accompanied by a genuine culture of inclusion rather than diversity in representation alone. The paper concludes by synthesising the practical implications of these findings for organisations designing D&I strategy and by identifying gaps in the existing secondary evidence base that merit further primary research.

Keywords: diversity and inclusion, employee satisfaction, organisational performance, workplace diversity, secondary data, human resource management

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Organisations worldwide have, over the past decade, substantially expanded their investment in diversity and inclusion initiatives, moving beyond compliance-oriented equal opportunity policies toward more comprehensive strategies encompassing inclusive leadership development, employee resource groups, bias-awareness training, and structural changes to recruitment, promotion, and pay-equity practices. This expansion has been driven partly by demographic and social change, partly by employee and investor pressure for greater organisational accountability on fairness and representation, and partly by a growing body of research, much of it produced by major consulting and research organisations, purporting to demonstrate that diversity and inclusion deliver measurable business benefits rather than functioning merely as a reputational or ethical consideration. This paper examines that evidence base directly, focusing specifically on the relationship between diversity and inclusion initiatives and two organisational outcomes of central and enduring interest to both scholars and practitioners: employee satisfaction and organisational performance.

1.2 Concept of Diversity and Inclusion in the Workplace

Diversity, in an organisational context, refers to the range of visible and less visible differences among employees, encompassing dimensions such as gender, race and ethnicity, age, disability status, sexual orientation, and cultural or national background, as well as less visible dimensions such as cognitive style, educational background, and professional experience. Inclusion, a conceptually distinct but closely related construct, refers to the extent to which employees experience belonging, are able to bring their authentic selves to work, and have equitable access to information, resources, and decision-making influence within the organisation, regardless of their demographic characteristics. A substantial body of research has emphasised that diversity and inclusion, while frequently discussed together, are not interchangeable: an organisation can achieve significant demographic diversity in its workforce while still failing to create an inclusive climate in which that diversity is genuinely valued and leveraged, and it is this distinction between representation and inclusion that

much of the more recent literature, including large-scale industry research series, has increasingly sought to disentangle.

1.3 Employee Satisfaction as an Organisational Outcome

Employee satisfaction refers to the degree to which employees hold positive attitudes toward their jobs, encompassing evaluations of the work itself, compensation, relationships with colleagues and supervisors, and the broader organisational climate in which they operate. Employee satisfaction is of enduring interest to organisational researchers and practitioners alike because of its well-documented associations with reduced turnover and absenteeism, improved organisational citizenship behaviour, and, under certain conditions, enhanced individual and team performance. Within the diversity and inclusion literature specifically, employee satisfaction has been examined both as an outcome in its own right and as a mediating mechanism through which diversity and inclusion initiatives are theorised to influence broader organisational performance, since employees who feel included and fairly treated are generally expected to report higher satisfaction, which in turn is expected to translate into more favourable behavioural and performance-related outcomes for the organisation as a whole.

1.4 Organisational Performance and the Business Case for Diversity and Inclusion

Organisational performance, as examined in the diversity and inclusion literature, has been operationalised in several distinct ways, ranging from financial indicators such as profitability, revenue growth, and earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) margin, to non-financial indicators such as innovation output, employee retention, and organisational reputation. The so-called business case for diversity and inclusion — the proposition that diverse and inclusive organisations systematically outperform less diverse and less inclusive peers — has been advanced most prominently through a series of large-scale industry studies, most notably McKinsey's Diversity Matters, Delivering through Diversity, and Diversity Wins reports, alongside complementary research from Deloitte, Boston Consulting Group, and Gallup. These industry studies have reported substantial associations between executive-level diversity and financial outperformance, and between inclusive workplace cultures and innovation, engagement, and retention outcomes; at the same time, independent

academic scrutiny of some of these industry findings has raised methodological questions about causality and replicability, making a careful, source-transparent synthesis of the available secondary evidence particularly valuable.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Diversity and Inclusion Initiatives: Conceptual and Empirical Foundations

Farndale, Biron, Briscoe, and Raghuram (2015) provided an influential global perspective on diversity and inclusion in work organisations, arguing that diversity and inclusion practices must be understood in relation to the specific national, legal, and cultural context in which an organisation operates, and cautioning against the uncritical transfer of diversity management frameworks developed in one national context to organisations operating elsewhere. Nishii (2013) examined the concept of a climate for inclusion specifically among gender-diverse groups and found that a strong inclusive climate was associated with reduced relationship conflict and higher job satisfaction, even in workgroups with high gender diversity, suggesting that inclusion functions as an important moderating factor shaping whether diversity itself translates into positive or negative team-level outcomes. Roberson, Ryan, and Ragins (2017) traced the evolution of diversity research over several decades and observed a clear conceptual shift from an early, primarily legal-compliance-oriented framing of diversity toward a more contemporary framing that treats inclusion as an essential complement to, rather than an automatic consequence of, demographic diversity. Guillaume, Dawson, Otaye-Ebede, Woods, and West (2017) conducted a broad review of moderators shaping the relationship between workplace diversity and organisational outcomes, concluding that diversity's effects on performance-related outcomes are highly context-dependent, varying according to organisational climate, leadership behaviour, and the specific type of diversity examined, rather than operating as a uniformly positive or negative force. Cletus, Mahmood, Umar, and Ibrahim (2018) similarly emphasised, in a critical review of workplace diversity literature, that the prospects and challenges associated with diversity initiatives depend heavily on how well organisations manage the accompanying cultural and interpersonal dynamics, rather than on diversity representation figures alone. Mor Barak et al. (2016), in a meta-analytic review of diversity management and inclusion research, similarly concluded that diversity management practices are most effective at

fostering a climate of inclusion when they are embedded across multiple organisational levels simultaneously, rather than implemented as isolated, one-off training interventions. Shore, Cleveland, and Sanchez (2018) proposed an integrative model of inclusive workplaces, arguing that genuine inclusion requires both a sense of belonging and a sense of uniqueness being valued, and that diversity initiatives focused solely on demographic representation without addressing this dual requirement are unlikely to produce a durable climate of inclusion. Choi and Rainey (2014) examined organisational fairness as a complementary construct to diversity management in public sector organisations and found that perceived fairness significantly strengthened the positive relationship between diversity management practices and employee-level outcomes, reinforcing the broader conclusion that structural or procedural context substantially conditions how diversity initiatives are experienced by employees. Taken together, this conceptual literature establishes an important foundation for the present study's synthesis: that diversity and inclusion, while closely related, are analytically distinct constructs whose combined and interactive effects on employee satisfaction and organisational performance are more nuanced than either is often presented in isolation.

2.2 Diversity, Inclusion, and Employee Satisfaction

A substantial body of empirical research has examined the relationship between diversity management practices, perceived inclusion, and employee job satisfaction. Sabharwal (2014) found that diversity management alone was often insufficient to improve organisational outcomes, and that organisational inclusion — the degree to which employees perceive themselves as valued and involved in decision-making — was a stronger and more consistent predictor of employee-level outcomes, including job satisfaction, than diversity representation metrics alone. Downey, van der Werff, Thomas, and Plaut (2015) similarly found that the presence of diversity practices, combined with employees' perceptions of genuine organisational commitment to inclusion, positively predicted trust in the organisation and employee engagement, whereas diversity practices perceived as symbolic or performative did not generate the same positive effects. Panicker and Khandelwal (2018) examined the relationship between an inclusive workplace climate and organisational citizenship behaviour and found that perceptions of inclusion were significantly associated

with discretionary, prosocial employee behaviour, a relationship generally understood in the literature to be closely linked to underlying job satisfaction.

Alam and Shin (2020) proposed and tested a moderated mediation model in which employees' openness to experience and their perceptions of visible diversity discrimination jointly shaped the relationship between experienced diversity management and job satisfaction, finding that diversity management practices were most strongly associated with satisfaction among employees who did not perceive discrimination on the basis of visible diversity characteristics. Ohunakin, Adeniji, Ogunnaike, Igbadume, and Akintayo (2019) examined diversity management and inclusion within a multinational corporate case setting and found that both dimensions were significantly associated with favourable organisational outcomes, including employee satisfaction and reduced turnover intention, reinforcing the broader pattern identified across this literature that inclusion, specifically, appears to be the more proximate driver of employee-level satisfaction outcomes, with diversity representation functioning more as a necessary precondition than as a sufficient cause on its own. Deloitte's inclusion research, reviewed by Bourke (2018), reported that a substantial majority of employees who experienced high levels of workplace inclusion described themselves as engaged in their work, a pattern broadly consistent with the academic literature's emphasis on inclusion, rather than diversity representation alone, as the more direct predictor of positive employee attitudes.

2.3 Diversity, Inclusion, and Organisational Performance

The relationship between diversity, inclusion, and organisational-level performance has been examined most prominently through a series of large-scale industry studies. McKinsey's original Why Diversity Matters report found that companies in the top quartile for gender diversity on executive teams were significantly more likely to report financial returns above their national industry medians, a relationship that strengthened somewhat in the subsequent Delivering through Diversity and Diversity Wins reports as measurement methods were refined and the underlying dataset expanded to over a thousand companies across fifteen countries (Hunt, Layton, & Prince, 2015; Hunt, Prince, Dixon-Fyle, & Yee, 2018; Dixon-Fyle, Dolan, Hunt, & Prince, 2020). Complementary research by Boston Consulting Group similarly found that companies with more diverse management teams

reported meaningfully higher revenue attributable to innovation than less diverse peers, a pattern the authors attributed to the broader range of perspectives and experiences that diverse management teams bring to product development and market strategy (Lorenzo, Voigt, Tsusaka, Krentz, & Abouzahr, 2018). Gomez and Bernet (2019) reviewed evidence specifically from healthcare organisational settings and concluded that greater diversity was associated with improved patient and organisational outcomes, though the authors cautioned that much of the underlying evidence remains correlational rather than causal in nature.

At the same time, it is important to note that independent academic replication of the most widely cited industry findings, particularly McKinsey's reported links between executive racial and ethnic diversity and financial performance, has not always confirmed the strength of the originally reported associations when tested using publicly available financial data and alternative statistical specifications, underscoring the need for continued caution in interpreting industry-reported effect sizes as definitive causal evidence rather than as suggestive, correlational patterns. Gallup's long-running workplace research similarly indicates that inclusive management practices are associated with meaningfully higher levels of employee engagement, which the organisation's broader body of research links, in turn, to higher productivity and profitability at the business-unit level, reinforcing the broader pattern identified across both the academic and industry literature that inclusion-related mechanisms, rather than diversity representation in isolation, appear to be the more direct and consistent driver of favourable organisational performance outcomes.

3. Research Methodology

This study adopts a secondary data-based, descriptive research design intended to synthesise and critically assess existing evidence on the relationship between diversity and inclusion initiatives, employee satisfaction, and organisational performance, rather than collecting new primary data. Secondary data were drawn from two broad categories of sources. The first category comprised major industry research series with sustained, longitudinal coverage of diversity and inclusion topics, specifically McKinsey's Why Diversity Matters (2015), Delivering through Diversity (2018), and Diversity Wins (2020) reports; Deloitte's diversity and inclusion research, including its 2018 review of inclusive leadership and business impact; Boston Consulting Group's 2018 study of diversity and innovation revenue; and

Gallup's ongoing State of the American Workplace research programme. The second category comprised peer-reviewed academic journal articles published between 2012 and 2021 examining diversity management, workplace inclusion, employee satisfaction, and organisational performance across a range of organisational and national contexts.

Sources were identified through a structured search of Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and the publicly available research repositories of McKinsey, Deloitte, Boston Consulting Group, and Gallup, using search terms including 'diversity and inclusion', 'workplace diversity', 'employee satisfaction', 'organisational performance', 'inclusive leadership', and 'diversity management'. Inclusion criteria required that sources be published between 2012 and 2021, be based on empirical data — whether survey-based, financial, or archival — rather than purely conceptual or opinion-based commentary, and substantively address the relationship between diversity and inclusion initiatives and either employee satisfaction, organisational performance, or both. Sources that focused exclusively on legal or regulatory compliance aspects of diversity, without reference to organisational or employee-level outcomes, were excluded, as were sources published outside the specified date range unless required for essential conceptual background.

Data extraction focused on identifying specific, quantifiable findings reported in each source — such as percentage differences in the likelihood of financial outperformance, reported engagement or satisfaction percentages, or innovation revenue figures — alongside the methodological basis on which each finding was generated, including sample size, geographic scope, and analytical approach, where reported. Extracted findings were organised thematically and tabulated to allow direct comparison across sources examining similar diversity dimensions or outcome variables, as presented in the results tables that follow. Because several of the industry findings synthesised in this study have been the subject of independent academic scrutiny regarding causality and replicability, findings from these sources are presented descriptively, with methodological caveats noted explicitly, rather than being treated as definitive causal evidence. This approach reflects standard practice in secondary data-based research, which prioritises transparent, source-attributed synthesis over the generation of new statistical inference.

4. Results

Table 1: Overview of Major Secondary Data Sources Reviewed

Source	Type	Scope / Sample	Primary Focus
McKinsey & Company (2015, 2018, 2020)	Industry research series	366 to over 1,000 large public companies across 12–15 countries	Executive diversity and financial performance
Deloitte (2018)	Industry research review	Global survey and case- based review	Inclusive leadership and business impact
Boston Consulting Group (2018)	Industry research study	Multi-country survey of firms	Management diversity and innovation revenue
Gallup (2017 onward)	Longitudinal workplace research	Large-scale employee survey panels, primarily U.S.	Engagement, inclusion, and performance
Peer-reviewed academic literature (2012–2021)	Empirical journal articles	Varied organisational and national samples	Diversity management, inclusion, satisfaction, and performance

Table 1 summarises the range of secondary sources synthesised in this study, spanning both large-scale industry research series and peer-reviewed academic literature, allowing findings to be triangulated across sources with differing methodological traditions and levels of independent scrutiny.

Table 2: McKinsey Findings on Executive Gender Diversity and Financial Outperformance (2015–2020)

Report Year	Report Title	Key Reported Finding	Likelihood of Outperformance
2015	Why Diversity Matters	Top-quartile gender diversity companies more likely to have above-median financial returns	15% more likely
2018	Delivering through Diversity	Relationship between gender diversity and profitability strengthened relative to 2015	21% more likely
2020	Diversity Wins	Top-quartile gender diversity companies more likely to report above-average profitability	25% more likely

As shown in Table 2, McKinsey's own reported findings indicate a strengthening reported association between executive gender diversity and financial outperformance across its three successive reports between 2015 and 2020 (Hunt et al., 2015; Hunt et al., 2018; Dixon-Fyle et al., 2020). It should be noted, however, that these figures reflect McKinsey's own analytical methodology, and independent academic replication attempts using publicly available financial data for overlapping company samples have not always confirmed statistically significant relationships of comparable strength, underscoring the correlational, industry-generated nature of these figures.

Table 3: McKinsey Findings on Ethnic and Cultural Diversity and Financial Outperformance (2015–2020)

Report Year	Diversity Dimension	Key Reported Finding	Likelihood of Outperformance
2015	Ethnic and racial diversity	Top-quartile companies more likely to have above-median financial returns	35% more likely
2018	Ethnic and cultural diversity	Relationship strengthened relative to 2015 findings	33% more likely
2020	Ethnic and cultural diversity	Top-quartile companies more likely to report above-average profitability	36% more likely

Table 3 indicates that, across all three McKinsey reports, the reported association between ethnic and cultural diversity and financial outperformance was consistently somewhat stronger than the corresponding association reported for gender diversity, a pattern the reports themselves attribute to the historically lower baseline levels of ethnic and cultural diversity on executive teams relative to gender diversity (Hunt et al., 2015; Hunt et al., 2018; Dixon-Fyle et al., 2020).

Table 4: Deloitte and Boston Consulting Group Findings on Inclusion, Innovation, and Engagement

Source	Key Metric	Reported Finding
Deloitte (2018)	Innovation leadership among inclusive organisations	Inclusive organisations 1.7 times more likely to be innovation leaders
Deloitte (2018)	Millennial engagement in inclusive workplaces	Approximately 83% of millennials engaged when workplace is inclusive
Boston Consulting Group (2018)	Innovation revenue among diverse management teams	Approximately 19% higher innovation-related revenue
Deloitte (2018)	Change readiness among inclusive organisations	Inclusive organisations 1.8 times more likely to be change-ready

Table 4 summarises complementary findings from Deloitte and Boston Consulting Group research, both of which report positive associations between inclusive organisational culture or diverse management composition and innovation-related outcomes, alongside stronger reported engagement among younger employees specifically in organisations perceived as inclusive (Bourke, 2018; Lorenzo et al., 2018).

Table 5: Academic Findings on Diversity Management, Inclusion, and Employee Satisfaction

Author(s) / Year	Focus	Key Finding
Sabharwal (2014)	Diversity management vs. organisational inclusion	Inclusion, more than diversity representation alone, predicted employee-level outcomes.
Nishii (2013)	Climate for inclusion in gender-diverse groups	Inclusive climate associated with reduced conflict and higher job satisfaction.
Downey, van der Werff, Thomas, & Plaut (2015)	Diversity practices and perceived organisational commitment	Genuine (non-symbolic) diversity practices positively predicted trust and engagement.
Panicker & Khandelwal (2018)	Inclusive workplace climate	Inclusion significantly associated with organisational citizenship behaviour.
Alam & Shin (2020)	Experienced diversity management and job satisfaction	Relationship moderated by openness to experience and perceived discrimination.
Ohunakin, Adeniji, Ogunnaike, Igbadume, & Akintayo (2019)	Diversity management and inclusion in a multinational firm	Both dimensions significantly associated with satisfaction and reduced turnover intention.

Table 5 synthesises peer-reviewed academic findings on the relationship between diversity management, workplace inclusion, and employee satisfaction, indicating a consistent pattern across studies conducted in varied organisational and national contexts: inclusion, rather than diversity representation in isolation, emerges repeatedly as the more proximate and consistent predictor of positive employee-level outcomes.

Table 6: Synthesis of Reported Outcomes by Diversity and Inclusion Dimension

D&I Dimension	Primary Outcome Examined	General Direction of Reported Association	Illustrative Source(s)
Executive gender diversity	Financial outperformance	Positive, strengthening over time in industry reports	Hunt et al. (2015, 2018); Dixon-Fyle et al. (2020)
Executive ethnic/cultural diversity	Financial outperformance	Positive, generally stronger than gender diversity findings	Hunt et al. (2015, 2018); Dixon-Fyle et al. (2020)
Management team diversity	Innovation revenue	Positive	Lorenzo et al. (2018)
Perceived workplace inclusion	Employee engagement / satisfaction	Consistently positive across academic and industry sources	Nishii (2013); Bourke (2018); Sabharwal (2014)
Diversity representation without inclusion	Employee satisfaction	Weak or inconsistent	Sabharwal (2014); Downey et al. (2015)

Table 6 consolidates the pattern observed across the preceding tables: diversity dimensions consistently show a positive reported association with organisational-level performance outcomes in industry research, while perceived inclusion, specifically, rather than diversity representation alone, shows the most consistent positive association with employee-level satisfaction outcomes across the peer-reviewed academic literature synthesised in this study.

5. Conclusion

This study synthesised secondary data from major industry research series and peer-reviewed academic literature published between 2012 and 2021 to examine the impact of

diversity and inclusion initiatives on employee satisfaction and organisational performance. The synthesised evidence indicates a broadly positive, though not uniformly strong or unambiguously causal, association between diversity and inclusion initiatives and both outcomes examined. Industry research, most notably McKinsey's successive Diversity Matters, Delivering through Diversity, and Diversity Wins reports, consistently reports a strengthening association between executive-level gender and ethnic diversity and financial outperformance over the period examined, while complementary research from Boston Consulting Group and Deloitte links diverse management composition and inclusive organisational culture to higher innovation-related revenue and stronger employee engagement, respectively. At the same time, this study's synthesis highlights an important distinction, echoed consistently across the peer-reviewed academic literature reviewed: diversity representation alone appears insufficient to reliably generate positive employee-level outcomes, with perceived organisational inclusion emerging repeatedly as the more proximate and consistent driver of employee satisfaction, trust, and engagement.

These findings carry several practical implications for organisations designing diversity and inclusion strategy. First, the consistent finding that inclusion, rather than diversity representation alone, drives employee satisfaction suggests that organisations should resist treating diversity metrics as an end in themselves, and should instead invest deliberately in the inclusive leadership behaviours, equitable decision-making processes, and cultural practices that convert demographic diversity into a genuinely inclusive employee experience. Second, the pattern of strengthening industry-reported associations between diversity and financial performance over time, while methodologically imperfect, suggests that organisations have meaningful commercial as well as ethical reasons to sustain diversity and inclusion investment, particularly in innovation-dependent sectors where the evidence for a positive relationship appears comparatively more consistent. Third, the methodological caveats raised by independent academic scrutiny of some widely cited industry findings underscore the importance of organisations tracking their own internal diversity, inclusion, and performance metrics over time, rather than relying solely on external industry benchmarks that may not generalise precisely to their own organisational context.

This study is subject to the inherent limitations of secondary data-based research. The industry reports synthesised in this study were produced by organisations with a commercial interest in promoting diversity and inclusion consulting services, and their proprietary datasets and precise analytical methods are not always fully disclosed or independently replicable, a limitation this study has sought to address transparently by noting where independent academic scrutiny has raised concerns about specific reported findings. The peer-reviewed academic literature synthesised, while methodologically more transparent, spans varied national contexts, industries, and measurement approaches, limiting the extent to which findings can be combined into a single, precisely quantified overall effect size. Future research would benefit from more primary, longitudinal studies that track specific organisations' diversity and inclusion initiatives alongside internal employee satisfaction and performance metrics over multiple years, from greater methodological transparency and independent replication of prominent industry research findings, and from research disentangling the specific inclusion-related practices — as distinct from diversity representation metrics — that most reliably predict improved employee satisfaction and organisational performance across varied organisational and national contexts. Notwithstanding these limitations, the secondary evidence synthesised in this study provides a reasonably consistent picture: diversity and inclusion initiatives, when genuinely embedded in organisational culture rather than pursued as representation targets alone, are associated with meaningful improvements in both employee satisfaction and organisational performance.

References

1. Alam, M., & Shin, D. (2020). A moderated mediation model of employee experienced diversity management: Openness to experience, perceived visible diversity discrimination and job satisfaction. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(3), 265–283.
2. Bourke, J. (2018). The diversity and inclusion revolution: Eight powerful truths. *Deloitte Review*, 22, 82–95.

3. Choi, S., & Rainey, H. G. (2014). Organizational fairness and diversity management in public organizations: Does fairness matter in managing diversity? *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 34(4), 307–331.
4. Cletus, H. E., Mahmood, N. A., Umar, A., & Ibrahim, A. D. (2018). Prospects and challenges of workplace diversity in modern day organizations: A critical review. *HOLISTICA – Journal of Business and Public Administration*, 9(2), 35–52.
5. Dixon-Fyle, S., Dolan, K., Hunt, V., & Prince, S. (2020). Diversity wins: How inclusion matters. McKinsey & Company.
6. Downey, S. N., van der Werff, L., Thomas, K. M., & Plaut, V. C. (2015). The role of diversity practices and inclusion in promoting trust and employee engagement. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 45(1), 35–44.
7. Farndale, E., Biron, M., Briscoe, D. R., & Raghuram, S. (2015). A global perspective on diversity and inclusion in work organisations. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(6), 677–687.
8. Gomez, L. E., & Bernet, P. (2019). Diversity improves performance and outcomes. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 111(4), 383–392.
9. Guillaume, Y. R. F., Dawson, J. F., Otaye-Ebede, L., Woods, S. A., & West, M. A. (2017). Harnessing demographic differences in organizations: What moderates the effects of workplace diversity? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38(2), 276–303.
10. Hunt, V., Layton, D., & Prince, S. (2015). Why diversity matters. McKinsey & Company.
11. Hunt, V., Prince, S., Dixon-Fyle, S., & Yee, L. (2018). Delivering through diversity. McKinsey & Company.
12. Lorenzo, R., Voigt, N., Tsusaka, M., Krentz, M., & Abouzahr, K. (2018). How diverse leadership teams boost innovation. Boston Consulting Group.
13. Mor Barak, M. E., Lizano, E. L., Kim, A., Duan, L., Rhee, M.-K., Hsiao, H.-Y., & Brimhall, K. C. (2016). The promise of diversity management for climate of

- inclusion: A state-of-the-art review and meta-analysis. Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance, 40(4), 305–333.
14. Nishii, L. H. (2013). The benefits of climate for inclusion for gender-diverse groups. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(6), 1754–1774.
 15. Ohunakin, F., Adeniji, A., Ogunnaike, O., Igbadume, F., & Akintayo, D. (2019). The effects of diversity management and inclusion on organisational outcomes: A case of multinational corporation. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 20, 93–102.
 16. Panicker, A., & Khandelwal, U. (2018). Inclusive workplace and organizational citizenship behavior. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 37(6), 530–550.
 17. Roberson, Q., Ryan, A. M., & Rags, B. R. (2017). The evolution and future of diversity at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 483–499.
 18. Sabharwal, M. (2014). Is diversity management sufficient? Organizational inclusion to further performance. *Public Personnel Management*, 43(2), 197–217.
 19. Shore, L. M., Cleveland, J. N., & Sanchez, D. (2018). Inclusive workplaces: A review and model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 28(2), 176–189.
 20. Gallup, Inc. (2017). State of the American workplace report. Gallup, Inc.