REGULATORY CHALLENGES AND POLICY FRAMEWORKS IN FINTECH

Anjali Singh, Assistant Professor, Daulat Ram College, University of Delhi,
Email- anjalisingh0110@gmail.com
Ruchi verma, Assistant Professor, Ramanujan College (NCWEB), University of Delhi
Email- ruchiverma2019@gmail.com
Avantika Sharma, Research Scholar, University of Delhi
Email- avantikasharma02000@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Fintech has had an impact on business by enabling the development of improved services for both customers and corporations. However, fintech poses new issues for regu1ators, who are struggling to keep up with continuous growth of techno1ogy & ensuing disruption. Advancement of techno1ogy & laws in Fintech business has been uneven between industrialized and developing nations, creating several possibilities and difficulties. This study discusses regulatory issues and policy structures. This research contributed to literature by highlighting role of Fintech applications in increasing financial companies' competitive advantages, improving company efficiency through cost reduction & productivity enhancement, & thus increasing profitability, as well as improving quality of financial services & products offered to consumers.

Keywords: Fintech; regulation; financial technology; policies; challenges etc.

INTRODUCTION

Fintech is a financial sector that employs new technology to improve financial services. This wide framework allows us to incorporate both incremental advances like APIs & electronic signatures, as well as potentially disruptive developments like blockchain, AI, & ML. These have already revolutionized, or have potential to revolutionize, how financial institutions operate & how businesses finance themselves, such as through crowdfunding or ICOs. (P. Schueffel, 2016).

Fintech, refers to a sector of enterprises that employ technology to successfully provide financial services. In 21 century, it is an emerging type of service. New startup enterprises are seeking to replace traditional transaction system with contemporary, efficient approaches by using technology in financial areas such as mobile payments, loans, money transfers, & even asset management. Peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, P2P payment systems, digital wallets, blockchain, & mobile banking are some further instances of how technology is employed in financial transactions. These aim to increase advantages and improve financial transaction efficiency. Digitalization has brought about considerable changes in banking industry. This includes improved connection, quicker data processing, & a trend toward new business models for financial service providers. (Gomber etal. 2017 b)

Fintech has lately acquired substantial attention & appeal, with goal of revolutionizing the financial industry by making services more efficient & accessible to

businesses & consumers alike. The Fintech business is quickly growing, with applications ranging from online banking to payment processing, investment management, crowd funding, & block chain technology, among others. (Arner etal,2015)

The combination of technology with finance has transformed service delivery, payment processing, investment opportunities, & risk management, resulting in increased financial access, efficiency, & convenience. Since its start in2000, Fintech has altered way individuals access & manage financial services throughout world. Mobile banking & digital wallets allow people to manage their funds from anywhere, resulting in quicker, more secure, & easier payments. Fintech is widely recognized as a disruptive invention that has transformed global financial services business. The number of Fintech firms worldwide increased from more than12200 in 2019to26000 in2021, with investments in Fintech companies totaling USD210 billion that year. The United States & United Kingdom have made significant investments in fintech startups. (KPMG & H2Ventures2021)

The United States accounts for38% of worldwide Fintech agreements, with the majority of them including payments, block chain & crypto, cyber security, & wealth technology. The UK Fintech industry was Europe's top investment destination, attracting USD3.84billion in venture capital in2020. Some of largest Fintech acquisitions in UK include Visa's USD1.5billion acquisition of GoCardless, SoftBank's USD1.5billion acquisition of checkout.com, & D1 Capital Partners' USD1.4billion acquisition of Transfer Wise.(KPMG 2022)

However, Fintech's quick development and creative nature provide issues for regulators (Lessambo2023) & market actors (Arner etal.2015). Fintech rules strive to strike a balance between company development, consumer safety, & financial stability. They simplify & standardize operations in Fintech business. Fintech businesses must be aware of their nations' regulatory landscapes in order to comply, operate more effectively, & increase overall productivity. Fintech regulations have been implemented to address a variety of issues, including licensing & registration requirements, data privacy & consumer protection, antimoney laundering, cyber security, capital & liquidity requirements, regulatory fragmentation, financial stability, & customer disclosure obligations. (Kost2023)

The International Monetary Fund has expressed concerns about early years of Fintech business, when a lack of regulation created issues about consumer protection, data security, financial stability, & possible threats to the larger financial system. (Bains&Wu2023) P2P lending platforms launched in Europe in2005 & swiftly gained popularity throughout the world (Aliano etal.2023), allowing individuals to borrow & lend money directly without the need of traditional financial intermediaries. While this invention offered easier access to financing, it also highlighted questions about transparency, investor protection, & systemic hazards. Different nations have implemented different restrictions for P2P lending. In Europe, P2P lending is more standardized, & rules allow a licensed provider in one member state to provide crowd funding services in another. (Ferreti2021)

The basic difficulty of Fintech when it is introduced is necessity to establish rules and policies to manage the dangers associated with new technology solutions. As Fintech companies continue to grow & gain popularity, regulatory bodies such as SEC, OCC, & CFPB in US; FCA & PSR in UK; & RBI & SEBI in India have begun to recognize need to keep Each regulatory authority focuses on different parts of Fintech, such as banking, securities, insurance, payment systems, & consumer protection. (Alam2022)

Regulators confront significant obstacles in successfully regulating disruptive nature of Fintech, which is constantly developing. The fast speed of technology progress makes it difficult for regulators to stay current with changing scene & recognize potential hazards. Regulators from many nations have responded to Fintech revolution in a variety of ways. Some have welcomed technological advancements & worked to create a regulatory environment that encourages innovation & competition in financial industry (Stefanadis2003), while others have approached Fintech with caution, fearing potential threats to financial stability & consumer protection. (Alam 2022) Policymakers must strike a balance between potential hazards of innovation and its benefits. (Arner et al. 2015)

To put it another way, without sufficient regulatory oversight, Fintech's future might be bleak, with thirdparty speculators & analysts filling market risk management gaps at expense of end users (Mugerman etal.2019). Fintech frequently works outside of established regulatory limits, making it difficult to monitor & enforce compliance. Addressing cyber security & data privacy problems becomes increasingly important as Fintech relies heavily on digital infrastructure. Cybercriminals are increasingly targeting financial institutions to steal money & data, disrupt operations, destroy infrastructure, & breach sensitive information (Kandasamy etal.,2020).

India has seen a huge increase in Fintech adoption, with creative solutions to meet the country's large unbanked population. The Fintech business has changed traditional banking, enabling millions to access financial services via smartphones. However, with this rapid expansion, regulatory issues have surfaced, prompting the RBI to provide thorough recommendations (ETtech2021). The number of Fintech companies in India more than quadrupled between 2019-20, reaching over2100 (KPMG 2022).

This problem has global implications. The global character of Fintech necessitates collaboration across countries, resulting in complicated regulatory frameworks (Tanda&Schena2019). While rich nations, such as the US & UK, have sophisticated regulatory frameworks that may both encourage and hinder innovation (Chigwedere etal.2022), emerging countries, such as India, are implementing policies that prioritize financial inclusion. Developed nations frequently have tougher rules governing risk management & consumer protection. These restrictions are in place to help prevent financial crises & safeguard customers from fraud & data breaches. As Fintech expands internationally, developed & developing nations are increasingly working together to guarantee regulatory uniformity and efficiently manage cross-border Fintech activity. Differences in regulatory methods may be noted in how different nations address issues such

as digital identity, data privacy, & cyber security, with more established legislation common in developed countries.

OBJECTIVES:
- Understand the present state of fintech.
- Identify regulatory challenges.
- Analyze policy frameworks and regulations.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

We concentrate on research & publications that specifically address interaction of Fintech & regulatory frameworks. The keywords selected were "Fintech", "Financial Technology", & "Regulations". We chose materials from respectable sources, such as peer-reviewed publications, regulatory bodies, & authoritative databases, to provide a variety of viewpoints and insights into the Fintech scene. Our research includes Scopusindexed publications, reports from regulatory agencies such as FCA, the SEC, & RBI, as well as reputable financial & academic institutions published between 2003 and2023.

FINTECH'S CHALLENGES

FinTechs' broad use has the potential to amplify existing risks in financial markets because to their capacity to learn & dynamically adapt to changing conditions in a fully autonomous way, resulting in the creation of new problems and dominating hazards. The use of big data in AIpowered applications can also provide significant nonfinancial risk-driven issues & dangers, such as data quality, data privacy & confidentiality, electronic security, & nondiscrimination & fairness considerations. Depending on how they are employed, FinTechs can either help to eliminate prejudice based on human interactions or exacerbate biases, unfair treatment, & discrimination in financial services. AI bias & prejudice can occur as a result of using low quality, faulty, or inadequate data in ML models, as well as accidentally through inference and authorization. (White and Case, 2023)

In addition to financial consumer protection problems, use of big data & ML models may raise competitive concerns, such as significant concentration among market players in some areas or greater risks of tacit & quiet cooperation. The most well-known obstacle for ML models is difficulty in comprehending why & how model generates results, which is commonly referred to as "explainability" & is connected with a variety of significant dangers. Financial market participants that employ FinTech models should maintain & increase their efforts to improve explainability of such models so that they can better understand their behavior under normal market circumstances & during times of stress, as well as manage risks associated with them. The rising complexity of certain creative use cases for artificial intelligence in finance may call into question technology-neutral approach used by many legal systems to govern financial market goods.(Federal Reserve, 2023)

The usage of sophisticated FinTechs may result in incompatibilities with present legal and regulatory frameworks (for example, since deep learning models are not explainable or adaptable). Furthermore, there is a danger of fragmentation of the regulatory environment for FinTechs at national, international, & sectoral levels. In addition to present legislation governing FinTech models & systems, several published AI concepts, standards, & best practices have emerged in recent years. Although industry believes that all of these are useful in managing possible dangers, perspectives vary on their practical utility & difficulties of converting these principles into effective practical counsel (for example, through real-life examples). That is why clear governance frameworks that identify clear lines of accountability for AIbased systems throughout their lifespan, from development to deployment, may complement the model's current governance structures & frameworks. Clear accountability procedures are also becoming increasingly crucial when AI models are used in critical or high-value decision-making applications (such as credit access). (European Commission, 2023)

There are additional risks associated with outsourcing FinTechs to third parties, both in terms of responsibility & competitive dynamics. The financial industry's use of FinTechs may also result in considerable job losses throughout industry, creating employment issues. As a result, skill sets will need to be enhanced in order to create & manage risks associated with FinTechs. When FinTech applications become commonplace in finance, AI should be viewed as a tool that supplements rather than replaces human talents. A "man and machine" combination in which AI contributes to rather than replaces human judgment (assisting with decision making rather than taking over decision-making) can allow benefits of technology to be realized while maintaining accountability & oversight in relation to final decision making.

POLICIES FRAMEWORKS AND REGULATIONS

Regulations help to build client & investor confidence. It encourages long-term growth of FinTechs. Policymakers & regulators have an important role in ensuring that FinTechs are used in accordance with regulatory goals aimed at improving financial stability, protecting financial consumers, & enhancing market integrity & competitiveness. They should consider encouraging FinTech developments while safeguarding financial consumers & investors & fostering fair, orderly, & transparent markets. As a result, application of regulatory & supervisory requirements to AI algorithms may be seen via a contextual & proportionate lens. The European Union, for example, is researching standards for documenting and disclosing programming approaches, training, procedures, & techniques used to design, test, & validate FinTech (AI) systems, including algorithm documentation.

Human supervision may also be necessary beginning with product design & continuing throughout life cycle of FinTech (AI) products & systems as a precautionary measure. (European Commission, 2023). Policymakers may consider pressing financial sector corporations to focus more on data management in order to improve consumer safety

via AI applications in finance. Policymakers should address transparency regulations for use of FinTechs in supply of financial services, which may alter customer outcomes. (Alshari H.A. & Lokhande, M.A.2022)

Those in charge of regulatory & legal frameworks should explore how to address apparent gap between FinTechs' lack of explainability and present rules & regulations. Policymakers should also consider requiring specific model governance frameworks and emphasizing responsibility to assist create confidence in FinTech-driven systems. Increased guarantees from financial institutions concerning robustness & resilience of AI models are critical as policymakers aim to protect against accumulation of systemic risks, which will assist FinTechs earn trust & confidence. Regulators should consider fostering constant monitoring & validation of FinTechs, which are critical to their risks, as one of most effective approaches to improve model resilience, prevent & manage model drifts or deviations.

When it comes to use cases with higher value and relevance, such as loan choices that have a substantial impact on customers, appropriate emphasis may be placed on human primacy in decisionmaking. There have been attempts to supervise & regulate Fintechs; in May2019, OECD released a set of AI principles focusing on how govt. can shape a people-centered approach to trustworthy AI, with the goal of promoting the use of Fintech in an innovative, trustworthy, and human rights-respecting manner. The European Commission published a technical document in 2020 that details legislative & regulatory possibilities for a 'AI Ecosystem of Excellence & Trust'.

On April21, 2021, European Commission issued a proposal for a regulation aimed at addressing risks of AI & establishing harmonized rules for its use across its sectors of activity, as well as proposing formation of a European Council on AI. (European Commission2023) Efforts have also been made nationally. In2018, French ACPR formed a working group of financial industry professionals (business associations, banks, insurance companies, financial technology sector, & public authorities) to discuss current & potential uses of AI in financial industry, opportunities & risks associated with them, as well as challenges that supervisors face. (ACPR,2023)

The Bank of England & Financial Conduct Authority established Public & Private AI Forum in2019. In 2019, Russian Federation adopted a National Strategy for Development of AI, as well as a concept for developing a regulatory framework for AI & robotics technologies by2020.

CONCLUSION

We have lately seen an acceleration & deepening of digitalization trend in form of Fintechs, which was noted before to pandemic. Global FinTech investment is predicted to double between 2020 and 2024, rising from $50billion in 2020to more than 110billion US dollars by 2024. The amount of available big data, along with improved & inexpensive computer power, has paved the way for widespread adoption of FinTechs. This research paper contributed to literature by emphasizing role of these FinTech applications in

increasing financial companies' competitive advantages, improving company efficiency through cost reduction & productivity enhancement, & thus increasing profitability, as well as improving quality of financial services & products provided to consumers. Nonetheless, paper detailed a number of possible dangers that were addressed and assessed in order to provide ways & means, in form of laws and regulations, to manage & deal with these risks in a proactive manner.

REFERENCES

1. P. Schueffel, (2016) 'Taming the Beast: A Scientific Definition of Fintech', Journal of Innovation Management, vol. 4, no. 4, 2016, p. 32.

2. White & Case (2023), 'Algorithms and bias: What lenders need to know', White & Case [website], https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/algorithms-and-bias-what-lenders-need-67308/ (accessed 22 January 2023).

3. G. Gensler & L. Bailey (2023), 'Deep Learning and Financial Stability', SSRN Electronic Journal, https://ssrn.com/abstract=3723132 (accessed 23 January 2023).

4. Federal Reserve (2023), 'The Fed - Supervisory Letter SR 11-7 on guidance on Model Risk Management -- April 4, 2011', federalreserve [website], https://www.federalreserve.gov/supervisionreg/srletters/sr1107.html (accessed 11 January 2023); EBA, 'Guidelines on loan origination and monitoring', eba [website], https://www.eba.europa.eu/sites/default/documents/files/document_library/Publications/Guidelines/2020/Guidelines on loan origination and monitoring/884283/EBA GL 2020 06 Final Report on GL on loan origination and monitoring.pdf (accessed 10 December 2022).

5. European Commission (2023), 'On Artificial Intelligence - A European approach to excellence and trust: White Paper on Artificial Intelligence a European approach to excellence and trust', ec [website], https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/political-guidelines-nextcommission_en.pdf (accessed 15 January 2023).

6. Hussein Ahmed Alshari & M. A. Lokhande, (2022) The impact of demographic factors of clients' attitudes and their intentions to use FinTech services on the banking sector in the least developed countries, Cogent Business & Management, 9:1, DOI:10.1080/23311975.2022.2114305.

7. ACPR (2023), 'Artificial intelligence: challenges for the financial sector', acpr [website], https://acpr.banque-france.fr/sites/default/files/medias/documents/2018_12_20_intelligence_artificielle_en.pdf (accessed 19 January 2023).

8. Gomber, Peter, Robert J. Kauffman, Chris Parker, and Bruce Weber. (2017b). On the Fintech Revolution: Interpreting the Forces of Innovation, Disruption and Transformation in Financial Services. Journal of Banking Regulation 22: 261–74.

9. Arner, Douglas W., Janos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. (2015). The Evolution of Fintech: A New Post-Crisis Paradigm. University of Hong Kong Faculty of Law Research Paper 47: 1271.

10. KPMG. (2022). Total Fintech Investment Tops US $210 Billion—KPMG Global. Available online: https://kpmg.com/xx/en/home/media/press-releases/2022/02/total-fintech-investment-tops-us-210-billion.html

11. KPMG and H2 Ventures. (2021). VC Investment in Fintech More Than Doubles in Second Half of 2020. Available online: https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20210222005993/en/VC-Investment-in-Fintech-More-Than-Doubles-in-Second-Half-of-2020-%E2%80%93-Expected-to-Remain-Strong-Into-2021-According-to-KPMG%E2%80%99s-Pulse-of-Fintech

12. Lessambo, Felix I. (2023). Banking Regulation and Fintech Challenges. In Fintech Regulation and Supervision Challenges within the Banking Industry. Palgrave Macmillan Studies in Banking and Financial Institutions. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.

13. Kost, Edward. (2023). Top 8 Cybersecurity Regulations for Financial Services. Available online: https://www.upguard.com/blog/cybersecurity-regulations-financial-industry

14. Bains, Parma, and Caroline Wu. (2023). Institutional Arrangements for Fintech Regulation: Supervisory Monitoring. FinTech Notes. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund

15. Aliano, Mauro, Khalil Alnabulsi, Greta Cestari, and Stefania Ragni. (2023). Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Lending in Europe: Evaluating the Default Risk of Borrowers in the Context of Gender and Education. European Scientific Journal, ESJ 19: 60.

16. Ferretti, Federico. (2021). Peer-to-Peer Lending and EU Credit Laws: A Creditworthiness Assessment, Credit-Risk Analysis or … Neither of the Two? German Law Journal 22: 102–21.

17. Alam, Nafis. (2022). Fintech Regulation—A Key to Financial Stability. In Global Perspectives in FinTech. Edited by Hung-Yi Chen, Pawee Jenweeranon and Nafis Alam. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.

18. Stefanadis, Christodoulos. (2003). Self-Regulation, Innovation, and the Financial Industry. Journal of Regulatory Economics 23: 5–25.

19. Mugerman, Yevgeny, Yoel Hecht, and Zvi Wiener. (2019). On the Failure of Mutual Fund Industry Regulation. Emerging Markets Review 38: 51–72.

20. Kandasamy, Kamalanathan, Sethuraman Srinivas, Krishnashree Achuthan, and Venkat P. Rangan. (2020). IoT cyber risk: A holistic analysis of cyber risk assessment frameworks, risk vectors, and risk ranking process. EURASIP Journal on Information Security 2020: 8

21. ETtech. (2021). RBI Sets Rules for Digital Payments in India. The Economic Times. Available online: https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/tech/tech-bytes/rbi-

tightens-payments-security-norms-for-banks-fintech-apps/articleshow/81094514.cms

22. Tanda, Alessandra, and Cristiana-Maria Schena. (2019). The Regulatory Framework and Initiatives. In FinTech, BigTech and Banks. Palgrave Macmillan Studies in Banking and Financial Institutions. Cham: Palgrave Pivot

23. Chigwedere, Casper, Sam Takavarasha, Jr., and Bonface Chisaka. (2022). Regulatory Frameworks in Developing Countries: An Integrative Literature Review. In Human Choice and Digital by Default: Autonomy vs Digital Determination. HCC 2022. IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology. Edited by David Kreps, Robert Davison, Taro Komukai and Kaori Ishii. Cham: Springer, vol. 656.